

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MCALLEN DIVISION**

IN RE:	§	
	§	Case No. 21-70009
JOSIAH'S TRUCKING LLC	§	
Alleged Debtor	§	(Involuntary Proceeding)

**MOTION OF JOSIAH'S TRUCKING LLC TO DISMISS INVOLUNTARY
PETITION PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 303**

THIS MOTION SEEKS AN ORDER THAT MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT YOU. IF YOU OPPOSE THE MOTION, YOU SHOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE MOVING PARTY TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE. IF YOU AND THE MOVING PARTY CANNOT AGREE, YOU MUST FILE A RESPONSE AND SEND A COPY TO THE MOVING PARTY. YOU MUST FILE AND SERVE YOUR RESPONSE WITHIN 21 DAYS OF THE DATE THIS WAS SERVED ON YOU. YOUR RESPONSE MUST STATE WHY THE MOTION SHOULD NOT BE GRANTED. IF YOU DO NOT FILE A TIMELY RESPONSE, THE RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE TO YOU. IF YOU OPPOSE THE MOTION AND HAVE NOT REACHED AN AGREEMENT, YOU MUST ATTEND THE HEARING. UNLESS THE PARTIES AGREE OTHERWISE, THE COURT MAY CONSIDER EVIDENCE AT THE HEARING AND MAY DECIDE THE MOTION AT THE HEARING.

REPRESENTED PARTIES SHOULD ACT THROUGH THEIR ATTORNEY.

EMERGENCY RELIEF HAS BEEN REQUESTED. IF THE COURT CONSIDERS THE MOTION ON AN EMERGENCY BASIS, THEN YOU WILL HAVE LESS THAN 21 DAYS TO ANSWER. IF YOU OBJECT TO THE REQUESTED RELIEF OR IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE EMERGENCY CONSIDERATION IS NOT WARRANTED, YOU SHOULD FILE AN IMMEDIATE RESPONSE.

EMERGENCY HEARING REQUESTED ON: February 19, 2021 at 10:30 AM

TO THE HONORABLE BANKRUPTCY JUDGE RODRIGUEZ:

Josiah's Trucking, LLC (the "**Alleged Debtor**") files this Motion to Dismiss the Involuntary Petition [Docket No. 1] (the "**Involuntary Bankruptcy**") filed by the Petitioning Creditors¹, and in support would show the Court as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. Venue of this proceeding is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. The statutory basis for the relief requested herein is 11 U.S.C. § 303.

OVERVIEW

2. The Petitioning Creditors filed -- prior to commencing the Involuntary Bankruptcy -- a state court lawsuit against the Alleged Debtor. Said state court action involves the same parties and the same alleged tort claim. Accordingly, there is a "bona fide" dispute that divests any jurisdiction under 11 U.S.C. § 303.

3. Another basis upon which the Petitioning Creditors lack standing to initiate the Involuntary Bankruptcy is that § 303's "three creditor" requirement is unsatisfied. The Alleged Debtor has more than 12 creditors, which mandates at least three petitioning creditors to join the petition. Here, although the Petitioning Creditors consist of three persons who purport to be separate creditors, they share the same tort claim and, therefore, should be counted as one creditor.

RELEVANT FACTUAL BACKGROUND

¹ The "Petitioning Creditors" are Sonia Tellez, Carlos Tellez and Rosemary Rodriguez, Individually and as next friend of I. Tellez, a minor.

4. On December 19, 2020, the vehicle collision occurred upon which the Petitioning Creditors base their allegations contained in their State Court Action and their Involuntary Bankruptcy Petition.

5. On January 4, 2021, the Petitioning Creditors filed their state court lawsuit, Cause No. C-0029-21-D with the 206th District Court of Hidalgo County, Texas, the “**State Court Action**” The Alleged Debtor has filed an answer pleading in said lawsuit, which generally denies the allegations pled by the plaintiffs. The Court is asked to take judicial notice of all filings in the State Court Action.

6. On January 26, 2021, the Petitioning Creditors filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition [Dkt. 1] seeking an involuntary order for relief against the Alleged Debtor under Chapter 7.

LEGAL AUTHORITIES AND ARGUMENT

7. As a general policy, courts must “scrutinize the creditor’s filing carefully because ‘the filing of an involuntary petition is an extreme remedy with serious consequences to the alleged debtor, such as loss of credit standing, inability to transfer assets and carry on business affairs, and public embarrassment.’” *In re Cates*, 62 B.R. 179, 180 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 1986)

8. Section 303(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, which governs eligibility for commencement of involuntary cases, provides that an involuntary bankruptcy can only be commenced by:

(1) **by three or more entities, each of which is** either a holder of a claim against such person that is not contingent as to liability or the **subject of a**

bona fide dispute as to liability or amount, or an indenture trustee representing such a holder, if such noncontingent, undisputed claims aggregate at least \$16,750 [originally “\$10,000”, adjusted effective April 1, 2019]¹ more than the value of any lien on property of the debtor securing such claims held by the holders of such claims;

(2) if there are fewer than 12 such holders, excluding any employee or insider of such person and any transferee of a transfer that is voidable under section 544, 545, 547, 548, 549, or 724(a) of this title, by one or more of such holders that hold in the aggregate at least \$16,750 [originally “\$10,000”, adjusted effective April 1, 2019]¹ of such claims;

11 U.S.C. § 303(b)(1), (2) (emphasis added).

9. These requirements are “more than mere formalities,” but are the linchpin of bankruptcy jurisdiction. *In re Norriss Bros. Lumber Co.*, 133 B.R. 599, 608 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 1991) (quoting *In re Walden*, 781 F.2d 1121 (5th Cir. 1986)).

10. To satisfy its threshold burden, the Petitioning Creditors must prove that the requirements of Section 303(b) are satisfied. See *In re Xacur*, 216 B.R. 187, 194 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 1997).

11. Here, the Petitioning Creditors fall woefully short of same for the following reasons.

I. Dismissal is Required Because the Claims are Subject to “Bona Fide Dispute” and, therefore, the Petitioning Creditors Lack Standing

12. For standing to file an involuntary petition, a creditor must hold a claim that is not “the subject of a bona fide dispute as to liability or amount.” 11 U.S.C. § 303(b)

13. The “bona fide dispute” requirement was added to the Bankruptcy Code to deter misuse of involuntary bankruptcies. See *In re Cates*, 62 B.R. 179, 180-81 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 1986) (citing to 30 Cong. Rec. S7618 (June 19, 1984))

14. The Congress later doubled-down in 2005 in the BAPCPA amendments by broadening the scope of bona fide dispute to include liability and amount – such that the presence of a dispute as to *either* of those results in a lack of standing to commence a case. *See* 151 Cong. Rec. E677-04, at E677-E678 (Apr. 18, 2005) (“Put simply, the bankruptcy courts in this nation should now uniformly hold that any claim that is subject to a dispute or litigation, or if it is contested, whether as to the amount of the claim, or as to liability for the claim, that claim cannot be used to commence an involuntary bankruptcy case.”)

15. While the Bankruptcy Code does not define “bona fide dispute,” the Fifth Circuit has held that a debt is subject to a bona fide dispute when “there is an objective basis for either factual or legal dispute as to the validity of the debt.” *In re Sims*, 994 F.2d 210, 221 (5th Cir. 1993)

16. Stated another way, any objective unresolved factual or legal question bearing on either liability or amount owed qualifies as a bona fide dispute for purposes of section 303(b) and, as such, requires dismissal. *See In re Sims*, 994 F.2d at 221; *In re Xacur*, 219 B.R. 956 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. 1998) (dismissing involuntary case due to finding bona fide dispute).

17. As applied here, the alleged tort liability that forms the bases for the Involuntary Petition has been pled in the State Court Action (described in the “Background” section above). See filings in the State Court Action, of which the Court is asked to take judicial notice.

18. Accordingly, there is a bona fide dispute. *See In re Green Hills Dev. Co., L.L.C.*, 741 F.3d 651, 659 (5th Cir. 2014) (**“Bankruptcy courts routinely consider the**

existence and character of pending but unresolved litigation as evidence of a bona fide dispute.”); see also *In re Cates*, 62 B.R. at 181 (the Court must condemn a single creditor attempting ““to use the Bankruptcy Court as forum for the trial and collection of an isolated disputed claim,”” **especially when “[t]here currently exists, in fact, a pending case in state court in which appellant is attempting to enforce the same claim** against the [alleged debtor] as has been asserted in this case.””)

II. Another Ground Upon which Dismissal is Necessary under Section 303(b)(1) is that there are Fewer than Three Petitioning Creditors

19. Where, as here, an alleged debtor has 12 or more holders of noncontingent, undisputed claims, Section 303(b)(1) applies—not Section 303(b)(2).

20. Therefore, the failure to have at least three petitioning creditors join the Involuntary Petition requires dismissal of this case without any further consideration. *See In re Runyan*, 832 F.2d 58, 59 (5th Cir. 1987) (dismissing involuntary petition because debtor “had more than twelve creditors and two petitioning creditors were incapable of initiating a Chapter 7 involuntary bankruptcy proceeding against [the debtor].”)

21. Joint holders of a cause of action should be counted as a single creditor. *See In re T.P. Herndon & Co.*, 87 B.R. 204, 205 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1988) (“Generally, the courts have held that **joint holders** of an obligation **constitute a single creditor** for purposes of § 303(b).”)

22. As applied here, although the Petitioning Creditors consist of three persons, they jointly assert the same tort claim that stems from the death of the same person. See

Original Petition filed in the State Court Action, of which the Court is asked to take judicial notice.

III. Alternative Relief Requested

23. The Alleged Debtor's primary position is that this case should be dismissed, including for want of standing, as set forth above. In the alternative and without waiving said position, the Alleged Debtor requests the following alternative relief.

24. The Alleged Debtor requests conversion to Chapter 11. Upon information and belief, the Alleged Debtor qualifies for Chapter 11, and hereby requests conversion to Chapter 11.

25. In the further alternative, the Alleged Debtor requests that the bond be significantly increased. The bond was set at \$100. The bond should be of such amount as to equal the total of the various costs and damages set forth in Section 303(i) ("....against the petitioners and in favor of the debtor for-- (A) costs; or (B) a reasonable attorney's fee; or (2) against any petitioner that filed the petition in bad faith, for-- (A) any damages proximately caused by such filing; or (B) punitive damages.")

BASIS FOR EMERGENCY RELIEF

26. An emergency exists because there is a hearing in this case scheduled for February 19, 2021, and the Alleged Debtor is of the position that this Court lacks jurisdiction due to absence of standing, and accordingly, if said jurisdictional issue is not resolved before other issues are heard, there is a risk that judicial resources will be needlessly wasted.

PRAYER

The Alleged Debtor prays that this case be dismissed with prejudice, for the reasons stated above, and alternatively prays for the alternative relief stated above, and for all further relief to which the Alleged Debtor is entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Antonio Villeda
ANTONIO VILLEDA
State Bar No. 20585300
Email: avilleda@mybusinesslawyer.com
VILLEDA LAW GROUP
6316 North 10th Street, Bldg. B
McAllen, Texas 78504
Telephone: (956) 631-9100
Facsimile: (956) 631-9146
Attorney for Debtor

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9013-1(i)

I hereby certify the accuracy of the matters set forth in Paragraph 26 of the foregoing motion.

/s/ Antonio Villeda
Antonio Villeda

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on February 17, 2021, a copy of the foregoing was sent via ECF to the following and all creditors:

Catherine Stone Curtis
PULLMAN, CAPPUCCIO & PULLEN, LLP
P.O. Box 720788
McAllen, Texas 78504
Chapter 7 Trustee

Via ECF

Shelby A Jordan

Via ECF

JORDAN, HOLZER & ORTIZ, PC
500 N. Shoreline, Suite 900 N
Corpus Christi, Texas 78401
Attorney for Petitioning Creditors

Jason A. Powers
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH, LLP
24 Greenway Plaza Drive, Suite 400
Houston, Texas 77046
Attorney for Brooklyn Specialty Insurance Co. RRG, Inc.

Via ECF

And all other creditors of notice.

Alleged Debtor
Josiah's Trucking LLC
c/o David Vasquez, Registered Agent
7612 Petirrojo Street
Edinburg, Texas 78542

Via Email: mayradym83@gmail.com

/s/ Antonio Villeda
Antonio Villeda